S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.706/07

05.02.2007

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Mohammad Rafiq

Mrs. Archana Mantri for petitioner.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

The grievance of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that question no.6a and 6b showing part of Section-C of the paper of the Financial Management No.307 which carried 12 & 3 marks respectively were out of the syllabus. Two questions carrying 15 marks were not set from the prescribed syllabus. When this fact was brought to the knowledge of the Director of the Institute by making a representation, she assured the petitioner that his complaint

shall be forwarded to the University Grievance Redressal Committee who is empowered to deal with such matters. The petitioner however submits that nothing has been done and even the representation has not been forwarded to the University Grievance Redressal Committee.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, I find that the issues raised by the petitioner are required to be dealt by experts academicians on the subject. It is further to be noted that if the petitioner is raising a grievance, it is the duty of the respondent university and their functionaries to look into it and if found genuine, redress such grievance. But such issues cannot certainly be entertained by this Court directly in the remedy of writ petition.

I therefore while dismissing the writ petition deem it appropriate to direct the petitioner to make a representation to the University Grievance Redressal Committee which shall examine the grievance of the petitioner and may adjudicate thereupon within four weeks from the date of receipt of such representation. It goes without saying that in case the University Grievance Redressal Committee decides the matter in favour of the petitioner, the petitioner would be free to approach to the institution for restoration of his admission.

(Mohammad Rafiq),J.

Rs/-